1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669		
2	City Attorney ELIZABETH S. SALVESON, State Bar # 83788		
3	Chief Labor Attorney ANTHONY GRUMBACH, State Bar #195107		
4	RUTH M. BOND, State Bar #214582 Deputy City Attorneys		
5	Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
6	San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-3947		
7	Facsimile: (415) 554-4248 Email: anthony.grumbach@sfgov.org		
8			
	Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.		
9	A DAMED DO COMA TO		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	FRANCIS KELLY, DENNIS KRUGER, ROBERT P. ANDERSON, GEORGE O.	Case No. C 05-1287 SI	
13	BULLWINKEL, STEPHEN C. CHRISTENSEN, FRED J. FEGAN,	[JOINT PROPOSED] ORDER RE	
14	GERALD L. GRÉY, THOMAS E. KAZARIAN, FRANK M. KUNST,	DISCOVERY, SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND CASE	
15	GARY J. LAVAYSSE, PATRICK H. McLAUGHLIN, DANIEL M.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SCHEDULE	
16	O'DONNELL, ROBERT G. OLLER, HENRY L. RANEY, GEORGE J.	SCHEBCEE	
17	RIPOLL, PATRICIA CAULFIELD AND HORTENCE PUCCETTI, Individually		
18	and on Behalf of Others Similarly		
19	Situated,		
20	Plaintiffs,		
21	VS.		
22	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; SAN FRANCISCO		
23	FIRE DEPARTMENT; EDWARD M. HARRINGTON, in his Official		
24	Capacity as Controller of the City and County of San Francisco; PHILIP A.		
25	GINSBURG, in his Official Capacity as Human Resources Director of the		
26	City and County of San Francisco; and DOES ONE through FIFTY, inclusive,		
27	Defendants.		
28			
40			

1	At the June 2, 2006 case management conference, the Court set the following schedule for		
2	summary judgment:		
3	September 8, 2006 Plaintiffs' Summary Judgment Motion Due		
4	September 22, 2006 Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion and Opposition Due		
5	October 6, 2006 Plaintiffs' Reply and Opposition Due		
6	October 13, 2006 Defendants' Reply Due		
7 8	October 27, 2006 Hearing on Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Summary Judgment Motions		
9	On August 14, 2006, the parties notified the Court that they reached an agreement on		
10	monetary relief and other settlement terms. The parties have agreed that Plaintiffs will serve		
11	Defendants with proposed settlement language by September 5, 2006, and that Defendants will		
12	serve Plaintiffs with their response, including their proposed modifications, if any, by September		
13	26, 2006. The settlement agreement will be subject to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors'		
14	review and approval.		
15	The parties have jointly requested that the Court enter an order staying discovery, taking		
16	summary judgment off calendar, and setting a case management conference for October 20, 2006.		
17	It is hereby ORDERED that:		
18	DISCOVERY IS STAYED sine die.		
19	The summary judgment hearing date and dates for filing summary judgment motions and		
20	briefs are OFF CALENDAR. If necessary, the parties will meet and confer and propose a new		
21	summary judgment hearing schedule to the Court.		
22			
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
28	///		

## Casca3c05:05-01/28172817-SIDobournemetr8586 FFileed10081/145/20006PagFeageo83of3

1	Counsel for both parties will appear at a case management conference at 2:30 p.m. on	
2	October 20, 2006. The parties will file a case management report no later than 10 calendar days	
3	before the October 20 conference.	
4		
5	SO STIPULATED:	
6		MCCARTIN IOINGON ( MILLER
7		MCCARTHY, JOHNSON & MILLER LAW CORPORATION
8	Datade August 14, 2006	Dry /a/ Diana Sidd Champion
9	Dated: August 14, 2006	By: <u>/s/ Diane Sidd-Champion</u> DIANE SIDD-CHAMPION, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10		Attorneys for Flamuns
11	D . 1 . A	DENNIS J. HERRERA
12	Dated: August 11, 2006	City Attorney ELIZABETH S. SALVESON
13		Chief Labor Attorney ANTHONY GRUMBACH
14		RUTH M. BOND
15		Deputy City Attorneys
16		By: <u>/s/ Anthony Grumbach</u> ANTHONY GRUMBACH
17		Attorneys for Defendants
18		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20		Suran Illaton
21	Date:	SUSAN ILLSTON
22		United States District Judge
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		